

June 10, 2020 BOD Meeting

Attendance: Dan Kidd, President
Jerry Jalbert, Treasurer
Lianne Mitchell, Vice President
Jerry Harkavy, Secretary
Dave Francis, At-Large
Marianne Hubert, At-Large
Peter Mullen, At-Large

The president called the meeting to order at 7:30 via zoom.us.

The board discussed options for disseminating the contents of MOAC's print newsletters, which Sharon Fuerst has scanned and uploaded so that members will be able to share memories of the club's earlier years. Peter Mullen said the material would be sorted by year in PDF format.

Members agreed to hold off on any planning for Summerfest because of Covid-19 restrictions that bar gatherings of more than 50 people.

The board batted around ideas for a speaker for the July 1 general meeting, which again will be conducted remotely.

Action on the budget for the remainder of the year was deferred pending the treasurer's report that will follow a June 16 meeting with TD Bank. The club is seeking a reduction in the \$1,200 in bank fees, most of which are linked to credit card transactions. We are looking at the possibility of a fee waiver because of our status as a nonprofit.

Returning to the ongoing issue of trip leader liability, the board voted to hold off on agreeing to a one-time charge of \$600 to set up our website so that new and renewing members sign a waiver. Instead, we will continue to have them check a box signaling their agreement to the waiver. Still up in the air is how to proceed when a nonmember shows up at an event.

The president will be putting out a notice that the club is once again inviting members to lead trips and post them on the calendar so long as

participants abide by pandemic-related requirements regarding social distancing and refrain from carpooling.

The board conducted a spirited discussion on whether to include a statement on the MOAC website spelling out its support for Black Lives Matter in the police killing of George Floyd. Opposition to the proposal focused on the club's involvement in politically tinged matters and whether it might set a precedent for future cases. The idea was rejected 6-1, with some members saying they could have voted either way.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:15.